

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspoj.cov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/854,423	05/10/2001	Michael M. Tso	031792-0311553	7666
909 PILL SBURY	7590 04/10/200 WINTHROP SHAW PI	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 10500			HAVAN, THU THAO	
MCLEAN, VA	A 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3695	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/10/2000	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination TSO, MICHAEL M. Art Unit	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	09/854,423		
Review	53.551,1.55		
Review	CHARLES R. KYLE	3695	
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Re	quest for Review filed .		
 Improper Request – The Request i reason(s): 	s improper and a conference	will not be held for th	ne following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not bee☐ The request does not include reas☐ A proposed amendment is include☐ Other:	sons why a review is appropria	ite.	est.
The time period for filing a response conti the mail date of the last Office communica			Appeal or from
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appei held. The application remains under appe is required to submit an appeal brief in ac- brief will be reset to be one month from m running from the receipt of the notice of at appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1 of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	al because there is at least or cordance with 37 CFR 41.37. ailing this decision, or the bala ppeal, whichever is greater. Fi	e actual issue for ap The time period for f ance of the two-mon urther, the time perio	ppeal. Applicant filing an appeal th time period od for filing of th
☐ The panel has determined the st Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-9, 11-15, 17-2</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	3, 25-29, 31-37, and 39-41.	vs:	
Allowable application – A conferer Allowance will be mailled. Prosecution on applicant at this time.			

All participants:

(1) CHARLES R. KYLE /crk/.	(3)Vincent Millin /vm/
	Appeals Conference Specialist
(2) Thu Thao Havan.	
	(4)

4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.

/Thu Thao Havan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695